

THE MAGAZINE
EXCLUSIVELY FOR
NACD MEMBERS



Quarter Four
2023

Directorship

BOARDROOM INTELLIGENCE

Elevating Board Culture



| CEO Succession | Cyber Risk | Technology Committees |

Departments

4

CEO Letter

Board Culture: An Action Plan
to Drive Performance
By Peter R. Gleason

6

Editor's Note

Board Culture Matters
By Elena Loveland

9

NACD News

14
Connections
Trailblazing: Friends, Top
Lawyers, and Board Directors
By Kimberly Simpson

16

The Director's Chair

The Dual Role of the CEO-Chair
By Anna C. Catalano

20

Need to Know

26

Keeping Count

Top Concerns for
Public Company Directors:
AI, ESG, and Human Capital
By NACD Editors

28

Director's Dilemma

Unreliable Voting Practices
Call a Constitutional
Amendment Into Question
By Julie Garland McLellan

30

Verbatim

Culture as the Foundation of
Board Effectiveness:
An Interview With Mary Winston
By Elena Loveland

32

NACD Certified Director

Ashish Parmar



74

In Practice

Unbiased Stakeholder
Intelligence Improves
Reputation Risk Management
and Governance
By Kathleen A. Graham,
Nir Kossovsky, and
Denise Williamee

76

Viewpoint

Sustainability Requires New
Board Governance
By John Mandycyk

78

Board Tech

Unlocking the Power of
Artificial Intelligence
By Richard Torrenzano

80

Onboarding

96

Smart Talk

DIRECTOR ADVISORY

83

Staying in Sync With Internal Audit and the Chief Audit Executive

By Stephen L. Brown and
Michael A. Smith

84

Preparing the Board for the SEC's Proposed Climate Rule

By Kristina Wyatt

85

Beyond the Numbers: The Role of Compensation Committees in the Era of Human Capital Management

By Jeff Miller and
Tina Murphy

86

Breaking Down Barriers to Improve Cybersecurity Governance

By Andrew Serwin

87

Cyber-risk Mitigation Should Start With Zero Trust

By Kavitha Mariappan and
Helmuth Ludwig

88

A New Framework for Evaluating Executive Talent Risk

By Robin A. Ferracone

90

The Board's Role in Artificial Intelligence

By Holly J. Gregory

91

How Shifting Operating Models Can Help Transform Key Functions and Manage Risk

By Andrew Swanson and
Patrick Brennan

92

Beyond Human Capital Governance: Unleashing the Power and Potential of People

By Don Delves and
John M. Bremen

93

The Technology Quotient of Bank Boards

By Debra McCormack

94

Boards and Transformation Initiatives: Strategy Is Just the Beginning

By Annie Adams and
Raed Masoud

For membership information, please contact join@nacdonline.org or call 571.367.3708. For reprint and distribution rights, please email us at editors@nacdonline.org.

The opinions expressed in *Directorship*® are not necessarily those of the National Association of Corporate Directors, 1515 N. Courthouse Road, Suite 1200, Arlington, VA 22201. © 2023 National Association of Corporate Directors. Except as permitted under the US Copyright Act of 1976,

no part of this publication may be reproduced, modified, or distributed in any form or by any means, including, but not limited to, scanning and digitization, without prior written permission from NACD.

Unbiased Stakeholder Intelligence Improves Reputation Risk Management and Governance

By Kathleen A. Graham, Nir Kossovsky, and Denise Williamee

IN THE PAST SIX MONTHS, more than \$130 billion in shareholder value was lost by 39 banks due to reputational risk—the risk of unmet stakeholder expectations resulting in incinerated business value. Why? Because they were collectively punished when at least a few proved to lack effective processes for capturing and interpreting stakeholder-centric risk intelligence for risk management and governance.

Enterprise risk professionals recognize that it is vital to understand the expectations of stakeholders, which is only possible via the reporting of knowledgeable staff who have their fingers on the pulses of those stakeholders. These critical reporting processes are vulnerable to human frailties, such as the biases of senior management. Indeed, 80 percent of the 560 chief financial officers surveyed by North Carolina State University and AICPA in 2022 on enterprise risk management saw no strategic advantage in their companies' risk management processes, which means that flawed execution is undermining risk management's contribution to enterprise resilience.

There is a path to mitigate human capital systemic bias, ensuring more reliable enterprise intelligence for the purposes of reputation risk management. This path is built around three core actions:

1. Establishing a reliable, repeatable process. Process drives information flows and a stable process ensures no idiosyncratic bias.
2. Ensuring that those managing the process represent a variety of backgrounds (i.e., as they pertain to gender, race, experience, and culture). Diversity of backgrounds and diversity of personality types mitigate systemic bias.
3. Institutionalizing the processes managed by individuals with diverse backgrounds and personality types by executing the intelligence gathering and processing on a regular schedule and meeting the needs of enterprise risk management, risk communications, and risk governance.

Reputation Risk Management and Governance Are Mission-Critical

Corporate reputation—the expectations of the corporation by its stakeholders—stimulates either value-creating or value-destroying behaviors. Customers buy or boycott, employees work or flee, investors buy or sell, lenders adjust interest rates, regulators enforce or defer, and social license holders acquiesce or protest.

A run on a bank is a perfect example of a reputation crisis. A bank run occurs when depositors, for any reason, no longer expect that their bank will continue to be a safe place for their assets. An example of an event-triggered reputation crisis is the \$2.2 billion net-equity loss by Southwest Airlines: 235 days after its week-long December 2022 meltdown due to overwhelmed scheduling software, the airline's equity return was underperforming the Dow Jones US Airline Index by 24 percent. A prime example of the new age of reputation crisis linked to environmental, social, and governance issues is Bud Light's monumental loss of America's top beer sales spot this year to Modelo following a marketing campaign that involved a transgender influencer and Anheuser-Busch's subsequent backtracking on the campaign.

Bank runs triggered by social media panic, widespread customer anger from software failures, and advertising campaigns that go wrong are not new risks. Because reputation value loss does not always follow an adverse event, nor does it always require an antecedent adverse event, reputation risk management and governance are best recognized as a distinct task, integrated with other enterprise risk controls.

But today the stakes are far higher—many risks that were once delimited can surge if unmitigated, because reputation risk management is blindsided by yesterday's ineffective risk intelligence processes. This surge effect is a potential board liability because corporate reputation is an asset and protecting its value is a board duty.

It can also put boards and their individual members at personal reputational risk. Proxy campaigns are becoming personal.



A prime example of the new age of reputation crisis linked to environmental, social, and governance issues is Bud Light’s monumental loss of America’s top beer sales spot this year to Modelo following a marketing campaign that involved a transgender influencer and Anheuser-Busch’s subsequent backtracking on the campaign.

Activists abound. Business judgment, a reliable defense, is limited to specific claims in a court of law. It offers no solace in the court of public opinion, as many public and private companies can, unfortunately, now attest.

Consider the following what-if scenarios:

- If Silicon Valley Bank had an effective reputation risk management process in place, could it have responded earlier to signals that stakeholders were getting worried and avoided a collapse?
- If Southwest Airlines had an effective risk management process that highlighted the potential enterprise costs of a travel debacle during peak demand, could the billions in equity lost; the legal costs of loss and harm, securities litigation, and derivative litigation; and the loss of business to its competitors have been avoided?
- If Anheuser-Busch had reputation risk executives working in tandem with marketing executives, could Bud Light have retained its position as America’s top-selling beer for a 23rd straight year?

Solutions

Because the answer to all of the above questions is yes, it is critical to pair enterprise risk management systems and insurances with human capital systemic bias minimization.

The state of the art in enterprise risk management, reputation risk management, and governance comprises two activities, enabled today through the rigors of measurement made possible by big data and artificial intelligence:

1. Predicting surges in potential reputation value loss, usually heralded by observable shifts in stakeholder expectations.
2. Preventing shifts in expectations by either conforming corporate

behaviors to stakeholder expectations or managing stakeholder expectations to align with operational realities.

Adding reputation insurance promotes and authenticates the quality and effectiveness of corporate prediction and prevention efforts so that stakeholders can appreciate and value them.

Notwithstanding the visibility afforded by technology, the highest-resolution visibility into the stakeholder expectations and issues most likely to precipitate shifts in expectations depends on quality human intelligence. Reliable enterprise intelligence depends on human capital free of systemic bias.

The most easily implemented human capital systemic bias minimization action a board or company can do is to incorporate into any group that is performing significant strategic or risk management functions a variety of personalities. **D**



KATHLEEN A. GRAHAM is the CEO of The HQ Companies, helping boards and companies with their human capital operational and financial strategies; a director at the Chicago Finance Exchange; and a corporate member of the Thirty Percent Coalition.



NIR KOSSOVSKY is Steel City Re’s CEO, helping boards, through reputation risk strategies and insurance, provide effective oversight and meet the value protection and compliance expectations of their shareholders.



DENISE WILLAMEE is an executive member of the board of Steel City Re and vice president of corporate services and helps risk-focused directors and managers add value across boardrooms, C-suites, and operational silos through reputation resilience.